

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Dynamical generalization of a solvable family of two-electron model atoms with general interparticle repulsion

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2008 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41 085304 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/41/8/085304) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.153 The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 07:29

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41 (2008) 085304 (8pp)

doi:10.1088/1751-8113/41/8/085304

Dynamical generalization of a solvable family of two-electron model atoms with general interparticle repulsion

T A Niehaus^{1,2}, S Suhai² and N H March³

 ¹ Bremen Center for Computational Materials Science, University of Bremen, D-28359 Bremen, Germany
 ² German Cancer Research Center, Department of Molecular Biophysics, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

³ Oxford University, Oxford, UK

Received 30 October 2007 Published 12 February 2008 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/41/085304

Abstract

Holas, Howard and March (2003 *Phys. Lett.* A **310** 451) have obtained analytic solutions for ground-state properties of a whole family of two-electron spincompensated harmonically confined model atoms whose different members are characterized by a specific interparticle potential energy $u(r_{12})$. Here, we make a start on the dynamic generalization of the harmonic external potential, the motivation being the serious criticism levelled recently against the foundations of time-dependent density-functional theory (e.g., Schirmer and Dreuw 2007 *Phys. Rev.* A **75** 022513). In this context, we derive a simplified expression for the time-dependent electron density for arbitrary interparticle interaction, which is fully determined by a one-dimensional non-interacting Hamiltonian. Moreover, a closed solution for the momentum space density in the Moshinsky model is obtained.

PACS numbers: 31.15.Ew, 31.25.-v, 31.70.Hq

1. Background and outline

A family of two-electron spin-compensated harmonically confined model atoms has recently been proposed and studied by Holas, Howard and March [1] (referred to below as HHM). Each member of this family is characterized by a specific interparticle potential energy $u(|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|)$. HHM showed that the ground-state spatial wavefunction $\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ then separated in the centre-of-mass (**c**) and relative motion (**b**) coordinates defined by

$$\mathbf{c} = \frac{\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2}{2}, \qquad \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2, \tag{1}$$

1

1751-8113/08/085304+08\$30.00 © 2008 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK

to yield

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \psi_{\rm CM}(\mathbf{c})\psi_{\rm RM}(\mathbf{b}). \tag{2}$$

The centre-of-mass term ψ_{CM} is determined once for all as a Gaussian function. Naturally the relative motion part ψ_{RM} entering equation (2) involves the interparticle repulsion u(b), but only through an effective one-body potential energy $V_{\text{eff}}(b)$ given by (here and in the following atomic units are used [\hbar , e, $m_e = 1$])

$$V_{\rm eff}(b) = \frac{1}{4}\omega_0^2 b^2 + u(b), \tag{3}$$

which must then be inserted in a one-particle Schrödinger equation to solve for the relative motion wavefunction ψ_{RM} . Analytic solutions exist for a number of choices of u(b) in equation (3) including harmonic [2], Coulombic [3] and the inverse square law [4, 5].

As to the motivation for the dynamic generalization of the HHM static family of solutions in [1], we cite the recent criticism of Schirmer and Dreuw [6] that lies at the foundations of timedependent density-functional theory (TDDFT); a very popular approximate route for current calculations of electronic excitation energies in atoms and molecules [7]. Because of such criticism, it seemed the more important to broaden considerably the class of available exact time-dependent analytical treatments. In that context, we must note here for the Coulombic interaction in a two-electron model with harmonic confinement [8], the study of D'Amico and Vignale [9] in which the harmonic confinement is generalized to be time-dependent, the dimensionality of the problem these authors considered being reduced from three in the so-called Hookean atom [3, 8] to two.

Our objective here is to effect a related generalization of the whole family of twoelectron model atoms considered by HHM to time-dependent theory. We therefore keep the discussion as general as possible and turn to specific forms of the interparticle interaction only in analytically solvable cases. The resulting expressions could serve as a convenient starting point for a simplified numerical treatment, which is however outside the scope of this work.

2. Time propagation of harmonically confined two-electron model atoms

We wish to solve the Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi = \hat{H}\Psi.$$
(4)

Here we take the model which is a time-dependent generalization of the study of HHM. Thus, the Hamiltonian operator assumed has the form

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla_{r_1}^2 + \nabla_{r_2}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^2(t) \left(r_1^2 + r_2^2 \right) + u(|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|).$$
(5)

The time-dependent external potential involving $\omega_0^2(t)$ drives the system from its ground state Ψ_0 at t = 0 to a general time-dependent state $\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, t)$ at time t. Following HHM, we use the centre-of-mass vector **c** and the relative motion vector **b** in equation (1) to find

$$\mathbf{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{c},t) = \left[-\nabla_{\mathbf{b}}^2 - \frac{1}{4}\nabla_{\mathbf{c}}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\omega_0^2(t)(b^2 + 4c^2) + u(b)\right]\Psi(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{c},t).$$
 (6)

With the help of the product ansatz

$$\Psi(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, t) = \psi_{\text{CM}}(\mathbf{c}, t)\psi_{\text{RM}}(\mathbf{b}, t), \tag{7}$$

equation (6) is without loss of generality readily separated into relative motion and centre-ofmass channels according to

$$\mathbf{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_{\rm CM}(\mathbf{c},t) = \left[-\frac{1}{2m_{\rm cm}}\nabla_{\mathbf{c}}^2 + \frac{m_{\rm cm}}{2}\omega_0^2(t)c^2\right]\psi_{\rm CM}(\mathbf{c},t),\tag{8}$$

2

T A Niehaus et al

$$\mathbf{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_{\mathrm{RM}}\left(\mathbf{b},t\right) = \left[-\frac{1}{2m_{\mathrm{rm}}}\nabla_{\mathbf{b}}^{2} + \frac{m_{\mathrm{rm}}}{2}\omega_{0}^{2}(t)b^{2} + u(b)\right]\psi_{\mathrm{RM}}\left(\mathbf{b},t\right),\tag{9}$$

with effective masses $m_{\rm cm} = 2$ and $m_{\rm rm} = 1/2$. It should be noted that any (time-dependent) separation constant would give rise to phase factors of opposite sign in the RM and CM wavefunctions, which would then cancel in the formation of the total wavefunction and is hence neglected.

2.1. Solution of the CM problem in two and three dimensions

Since the centre-of-mass Hamiltonian does not depend on the interaction potential u(b), the CM system should be solved once and for all. In two dimensions, this has already been accomplished by D'Amico and Vignale [9] for an arbitrary time dependence of $\omega_0(t)$. Their result for the CM wavefunction reads

$$\psi^{\text{CM},\text{2D}}(\mathbf{c},t) = \sum_{n,m} c_{nm} \chi_{nm}(c,t) \Theta_m(\theta), \qquad (10)$$

where the fact that the Hamiltonian does not depend on the centre-of-mass angular variable θ was used to separate the wavefunction into an angular part characterized by the quantum number *m*,

$$\Theta_m(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-im\theta},\tag{11}$$

and a radial part

$$\chi_{nm}(c,t) = A(t)c^m \,\mathrm{e}^{B(t)c^2} L_n^m [C(t)c^2], \tag{12}$$

which involves the generalized Laguerre polynomials L_n^m and the purely time-dependent functions⁴

$$A(t) = \sqrt{\frac{n!2}{(n+m)!}} [m_{\rm cm} \dot{\phi}(t)]^{\frac{m+1}{2}} e^{-i(2n+m+1)[\phi(t)-\phi(0)]},$$
(13)

$$B(t) = -\frac{m_{\rm cm}}{2} \left[\dot{\phi}(t) - i \frac{\mathrm{d}\ln|X(t)|}{\mathrm{d}t} \right],\tag{14}$$

$$C(t) = m_{\rm cm} \dot{\phi}(t). \tag{15}$$

The complex functions X(t)

$$X(t) = |X(t)| e^{i\phi(t)} \quad \text{with} \quad \dot{\phi}(t) > 0,$$
(16)

are solutions to the equation of motion for the classical harmonic oscillator

$$\ddot{X} = -\omega_0^2(t)X(t), \tag{17}$$

which can be solved once an explicit form of $\omega_0^2(t)$ is chosen. Remarkably, the time propagation of the harmonically confined quantum system is fully determined by its classical analogue.

As a new result, we compute in the following the CM wavefunction for the general case of three dimensions. The derivation turns out to be straightforward and parallels the 2D case with minor modifications. To start with, we write the state $\psi_{nlm}^{CM,3D}$ with main quantum number *n*, angular momentum *l* and magnetic quantum number *m* as a product of a radial part $\tilde{\chi}_{nl}$ and

⁴ The form of A(t) given here differs by a factor of 2 from the result in [9].

spherical harmonics Y_{lm} :

$$\psi_{nlm}^{\text{CM,3D}}(\mathbf{c},t) = \tilde{\chi}_{nl}(c,t)Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi).$$
(18)

The more general case in which the system is not in one of its eigenstates at t = 0 can be handled easily according to equation (10), since the expansion coefficients do not depend on time. Notwithstanding, the ansatz equation (18) fully allows for excitations to eigenstates with different main quantum number due to the time-dependent potential realized by $\omega_0(t)$.

Proceeding, the radial equation of motion takes the following form:

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde{\chi}_{nl}(c,t) = \left[-\frac{1}{2m_{\rm cm}}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial c^2} - \frac{1}{m_{\rm cm}c}\frac{\partial}{\partial c} + \frac{l(l+1)}{2m_{\rm cm}c^2} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\rm cm}\omega_0^2(t)c^2\right]\tilde{\chi}_{nl}(c,t),\tag{19}$$

which we solve using a time-dependent generalization of the well-known result for the isotropic harmonic oscillator in the ground state

$$\tilde{\chi}_{nl}(c,t) = \tilde{A}(t)c^l \,\mathrm{e}^{\tilde{B}(t)c^2} L^{l+1/2}_{\frac{1}{2}(n-l)} [\tilde{C}(t)c^2]. \tag{20}$$

Inserting equation (20) into (19) and taking advantage of the defining differential equation of the associated Laguerre polynomials, we obtain after some algebra the following expressions for the functions \tilde{A} , \tilde{B} and \tilde{C} :

$$i\tilde{A} + \frac{(2l+3)}{m_{\rm cm}}\tilde{A}\tilde{B} - \frac{(n-l)}{m_{\rm cm}}\tilde{A}\tilde{C} = 0,$$
(21)

$$i\tilde{B} + \frac{2}{m_{\rm cm}}\tilde{B}^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_{\rm cm}\omega_0^2(t) = 0,$$
 (22)

$$i\ddot{C} + \frac{4}{m_{\rm cm}}\tilde{B}\tilde{C} + \frac{2}{m_{\rm cm}}\tilde{C}^2 = 0.$$
 (23)

Equations (21)–(23) are (besides different prefactors) completely equivalent to equations (A4)–(A6) in the mentioned work of D'Amico and Vignale, which allows us to write down the radial solution in three dimensions immediately:

$$\tilde{\chi}_{nl}(c,t) = \sqrt{\frac{2^{n+l+2}m_{cm}^{l+\frac{3}{2}} \left[\frac{1}{2}(n-l)\right]! \left[\frac{1}{2}(n+l)\right]!}{\sqrt{\pi}(n+l+1)!}} \times \left[\dot{\phi}(t)\right]^{\frac{2l+3}{4}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}m_{cm}\left(\dot{\phi}(t)-i\frac{d\ln|X(t)|}{dt}\right)c^{2}\right] \times e^{-i(n+\frac{3}{2})[\phi(t)-\phi(0)]}c^{l}L_{\frac{1}{2}(n-l)}^{l+1/2}[m_{cm}\dot{\phi}(t)c^{2}].$$
(24)

2.2. Time-dependent electron density for general interparticle interaction

Having solved the problem for the CM system, we now turn to an evaluation of the timedependent electron density $n(\mathbf{r}, t)$ for the special but important case of a system that is in its ground state at t = 0. The square modulus of the CM wavefunction then reduces to a simple Gaussian function

$$\left|\psi_{000}^{\text{CM},\text{3D}}(\mathbf{c},t)\right|^2 = \frac{1}{a_{\text{CM}}^3(t)\pi^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{c^2}{a_{\text{CM}}^2(t)}\right),$$
 (25)

where the time dependence is fully governed by the characteristic length scale $a_{CM}(t)$ of the oscillator:

$$a_{\rm CM}(t) = \frac{1}{m_{\rm cm}\dot{\phi}(t)}.$$
(26)

4

5

Since the potential u(b) depends on the interparticle distance only, also the relative motion wavefunction $\psi^{\text{RM},\text{3D}}$ can once again be split into angular and radial parts according to

$$\psi_{nlm}^{\text{RM,3D}}(\mathbf{b},t) = \tilde{\zeta}_{nl}(b,t)Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi), \qquad (27)$$

where $\tilde{\zeta}_{nl}(b, t)$ needs in general to be determined by time propagation in one spatial dimension. For the mentioned special case, the electron density is given by

$$n(\mathbf{r}_{1}, t) = 2 \int \left| \psi_{000}^{\text{CM}, \text{3D}} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{r}_{1} + \mathbf{r}_{2}), t \right) \psi_{000}^{\text{RM}, \text{3D}} (\mathbf{r}_{1} - \mathbf{r}_{2}, t) \right|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}_{2}, \tag{28}$$

which can be considerably simplified using equations (25) and (27). After evaluation of the angular integrations and substitution of $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)/a_{\text{CM}}$ for \mathbf{r}_2 , we arrive at

$$n(\mathbf{r}_{1},t) = \frac{8}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{r_{1}^{2}}{a_{\rm CM}^{2}(t)}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} dy \, y^{2} \exp\left(-\frac{y^{2}}{4}\right) \left|\psi_{000}^{\rm RM,3D}(a_{\rm CM}(t)y,t)\right|^{2} \\ \times \frac{\sinh(r_{1}y/a_{\rm CM}(t))}{(r_{1}y/a_{\rm CM}(t))},$$
(29)

which constitutes a non-trivial generalization of the HHM result for the static ground-state density given in equation (14) of that publication.

2.3. Time-dependent atomic scattering factor

A quantity which is easily accessible by experiment is the atomic scattering factor given by the Fourier transform of the atomic electron density

$$f(\mathbf{k},t) = \int n(\mathbf{r},t) \,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}} \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}.$$
(30)

Inserting equation (7) into (30) and taking advantage of the fact that the Jacobian for the transformation $\{\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}$ is unity, we obtain

$$f_{\text{tot}}(\mathbf{k}, t) = 2 \left[\int |\psi_{\text{CM}}(\mathbf{c}, t)|^2 \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{c}} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{c} \right] \left[\int |\psi_{\text{RM}}(\mathbf{b}, t)|^2 \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{i}\frac{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{b}}{2}} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{b} \right]$$

$$:= 2 f_{\text{CM}}(\mathbf{k}, t) f_{\text{RM}}(\mathbf{k}/2, t), \qquad (31)$$

which shows that the total two-electron scattering factor f_{tot} decouples into a product of one-particle scattering factors of the centre-of-mass and relative motion systems.

While the term f_{RM} remains until the relative motion Schrödinger equation is solved for a specific $\omega_0(t)$ and interparticle potential u(b), the other piece can be evaluated based on the results of the last section. In three dimensions, a closed solution is however only possible for the case of vanishing angular momentum. We therefore step back to the two-dimensional problem studied by D'Amico and Vignale. For the sake of simplicity, we treat only the case for which the system is in an arbitrary eigenstate at t = 0. The more general superposition of equation (10) can be solved along the lines of the following derivation and poses no additional problems.

Using equation (12), the centre-of-mass structure factor reads

$$f_{\rm CM}(\mathbf{k},t) \equiv f_{\rm CM}(k,t) = \int_0^\infty J_0(kc) |\chi_{nm}(c,t)|^2 c \, \mathrm{d}c,$$
(32)

where the angular integration leads to the Bessel function of the first kind J_0 . After insertion of equation (13) into (15) and polynomial expansion of the Laguerre functions according to

$$L_n^m(x) = \sum_{s=0}^n \binom{n+m}{n-s} \frac{(-x)^s}{s!},$$
(33)

we arrive at

$$f_{\rm CM}(k,t) = \frac{n!2}{(n+m)!} [m_{\rm cm}\dot{\phi}(t)]^{m+1} \sum_{s=0}^{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n} \binom{n+m}{n-s} \binom{n+m}{n-t} \frac{(-m_{\rm cm}\dot{\phi})^{s+t}}{s!t!} \\ \times \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{0}(kc) \,\mathrm{e}^{-m_{\rm cm}\dot{\phi}(t)c^{2}} c^{2(m+s+t)+1} \,\mathrm{d}c.$$
(34)

The solution of the remaining integral is known [10] and involves the confluent hypergeometric functions of the Kummer-type M:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-a^{2}t^{2}} t^{\mu-1} J_{\nu}(bt) dt = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mu+\nu}{2a}\right) \left(\frac{b}{2a}\right)^{\nu}}{2a^{\mu} \Gamma(\nu+1)} M\left(\frac{\mu+\nu}{2}, \nu+1, -\frac{b^{2}}{4a^{2}}\right)$$

$$\forall \quad \Re(\mu+\nu) > 0; \qquad \Re(a^{2}) > 0.$$
(35)

The CM structure factor then takes the final form

$$f_{\rm CM}(k, m_{\rm cm}\dot{\phi}(t)) = \frac{n!}{(n+m)!} \sum_{s=0}^{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n} \binom{n+m}{n-s} \binom{n+m}{n-t} \times \frac{\Gamma(m+s+t+1)}{s!t!} M\left(m+s+t+1, 1, -\frac{k^2}{4m_{\rm cm}\dot{\phi}}\right),$$
(36)

which constitutes one of the main results of this work. As indicated, the time dependence of equation (36) is solely determined by the phase derivative $\dot{\phi}$, which equals the frequency ω_0 of the confining harmonic potential in the static limit. For a system initially in its ground state, $f_{\rm CM}$ reduces to a simple Gaussian which correctly tends, respectively, towards the number of electrons (one in this case) as k approaches zero, and zero as k grows to infinity.

Having obtained a closed solution for the centre-of-mass structure factor, we now briefly discuss the relative motion term. In general, it will be necessary to evaluate this part by numerical methods, which is beyond the scope of the present paper. The so-called Moshinsky atom [2] characterized by the harmonic interparticle potential $u(b) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{K}b^2$ provides a useful exception and can be treated analytically. To this end, we note that in this case the relative motion Hamiltonian is equivalent to a centre-of-mass one with the effective mass $\tilde{m}_{\rm cm} = 1/2$ and the force constant $\omega_0^2(t) - \mathcal{K}/\tilde{m}_{\rm cm}$. Solving

$$\tilde{X}(t) = |\tilde{X}(t)| e^{i\tilde{\phi}(t)} \quad \text{with} \quad \ddot{\tilde{X}} = -\left(\omega_0^2(t) - \frac{\mathcal{K}}{\tilde{m}_{\rm cm}}\right) \tilde{X} \quad (37)$$

allows one to obtain the total structure factor of the Moshinsky atom from

$$f_{\text{tot}}^{\mathcal{K}}(k,t) = 2f_{\text{CM}}(k, m_{\text{cm}}\dot{\phi}(t))f_{\text{CM}}(k/2, \tilde{m}_{\text{cm}}\tilde{\phi}(t)).$$
(38)

3. Relation to TDDFT

As mentioned in the introduction, this work was motivated by the serious criticism of Schirmer and Dreuw [6] of the 1984 theorems of Runge and Gross [11]. In [6], the proof in [11] was not only challenged but seemingly refuted. To be specific, the authors claimed that the variational derivation of the time-dependent Kohn–Sham equations in [11] is invalid due to an ill-defined action functional presented there. A nonvariational formulation would also run into problems, since in this case the Kohn–Sham system would allow one to reproduce but not to predict the exact electron density. The results of this work can in principle be used to investigate this issue in an actual numerical calculation. For the two-electron spin-compensated system at hand, it is possible to construct the exact exchange-correlation potential from the known electron density in real (equation (29)) or momentum space (equation (38)). This is in line with van Leeuwen's proof [12] of mapping from densities to potentials in TDDFT with general two-particle interactions. Such a construction was, for example, already performed by Lein and Kümmel [13] or D'Amico and Vignale [9]. Using this potential in the numerical solution of the time-dependent Kohn–Sham orbitals would then open the opportunity to compare the propagated and exact electron density.

4. Summary and possible future directions

As set out in the introduction, HHM [1] proposed and solved the problem of a two-electron spin-compensated family of harmonically confined atoms with a general interparticle repulsive potential $u(r_{12})$.

Using the available time-dependent study of D'Amico and Vignale [9], but now in two dimensions, we display here the time-dependent density $n(\mathbf{r}, t)$ for a system initially in the ground state in three dimensions. While the general result is somewhat formal, for the so-called Moshinsky atom characterized by the choice $u(b) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{K}b^2$ with \mathcal{K} measuring the strength of the interparticle repulsion, we derive the momentum space electron density $f^{\mathcal{K}}(k, t)$ functionally from the D'Amico and Vignale form for the independent two-electron case with \mathcal{K} set equal to zero.

For the future, progress may come by taking a specific choice of the time dependence $\omega_0^2(t)$ of the harmonic confinement potential. Singling out a specific Fourier component through the choice $\exp(i\omega t) + \exp(-i\omega t)$ is restrictive to periodic time dependence but may allow, in the future, a more elegant discussion of the time-dependent particle density $n(\mathbf{r}, t)$ at the heart of all current theories of TDDFT. But, of course, more important would be to pass from a model 'He-like' family to a four-electron interacting 'Be-like' system, where an elegant Dirac idempotent density matrix already exists in the independent particle (Hartree–Fock or Kohn–Sham) limit (see, for example, [14, 15]).

Acknowledgment

NHM wishes to thank Professor A Holas and I A Howard for invaluable discussions on the general area embraced by this study. Professor J Schirmer is also thanked by NHM for allowing him early access to the material in [6]. TAN and NHM acknowledge a generous scholarship from the German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, which made their contribution to this work possible.

References

- [1] Holas A, Howard I A and March N H 2003 Phys. Lett. A 310 451
- [2] Moshinsky M 1968 Am. J. Phys. 36 52
- [3] Kais S, Herschbach D R and Levine R D 1989 J. Chem. Phys. 91 7791
- [4] Crandall R, Whitnell R and Bettega R 1984 Am. J. Phys. 52 438
- [5] See also the very recent study of Capuzzi P, March N H and Tosi M P 2005 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38 L439
- [6] Schirmer J and Dreuw A 2007 Phys. Rev. A 75 022513
- [7] Dreuw A and Head-Gordon M 2005 Chem. Rev. 105 4009
- [8] This goes back, at very least, to Kestner N R and Sinanoğlu O 1962 Phys. Rev. 128 2687 (see also [3])
- [9] D'Amico I and Vignale G 1999 Phys. Rev. B 59 7876

8

- [10] Abramowitz M and Stegun I A 1964 Handbook of Mathematical Functions (New York: Dover) p 486
- [11] Runge E and Gross E K U 1984 Phys. Rev. Lett. 52 997
- [12] van Leeuwen R 1999 Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 3863
- [13] Lein M and Kümmel S 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 143003
- [14] Dawson K A and March N H 1984 J. Chem. Phys. 81 5850
- [15] Holas A, March N H, Takahashi Y and Zhang C 1993 Phys. Rev. A 48 2708